NASA CR-178, 160

NASA Contractor Report 178168

ICASE REPORT NO.

86-56

NASA-CR-178168 19860023553

ICASE

EFFECT OF THERMAL EXPANSION ON THE STABILITY OF TWO-REACTANT FLAMES

T. L. Jackson

Contract No. NAS1-18107 August 1986

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTER APPLICATIONS IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23665

Operated by the Universities Space Research Association

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665



68et 3 T00

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER LIBRARY, NASA HAMPTON, VIRGINIA



EFFECT OF THERMAL EXPANSION ON THE STABILITY OF TWO-REACTANT FLAMES

T. L. Jackson

Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, Virginia 23665

Abstract

The full problem of flame stability for the two-reactant model, which takes into account thermal expansion effects for all disturbance wave lengths, is examined. It is found that the stability problem for the class of two-reactant flames is equivalent to the stability problem for the class of one-reactant flames with an appropriate interpretation of Lewis numbers.

This work was supported under the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under NASA Contract NASI-18107 while the author was in residence at the Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665.

 1. Introduction. Recently, Jackson and Kapila (1984, 1986a,b) reexamined the problem of the stability of a plane, premixed flame in the near-equidiffusional (NEF) framework. The study was aimed at understanding the role of thermal expansion on flame behavior. Neutral stability curves were obtained in the Lewis number-wave number plane and contrasted with the classical results of Sivashinsky (1977), who had employed the Constant Density Approximation (CDA).

The analysis was based on the simple kinetic model A + B which, as is well known, is also appropriate for a multi-reactant system when a single, deficient component controls the combustion process. Near stoichiometry, however, revisions are necessary since the number of controlling reactants is more than one. The first effort in this direction was due to Sivashinsky (1980) who examined the stability of a two-reactant model at EXACT stoichiometry, under the CDA; Joulin and Mitani (1981) later extended the result to near-stoichiometric mixtures. The essential point made by these studies is that the single-reactant results hold even near stoichiometry, provided the Lewis number is replaced by a suitably defined effective value.

The purpose of this note is to show that even when the CDA is abandoned and thermal expansion fully taken into account, the notion of an effective Lewis number persists. Thus, upon suitable interpretation, the results of Jackson and Kapila (1984, 1986a,b) can be applied to two-reactant systems.

2. Governing Equations. Consider the two-reactant model

$$v_1^{M_1} + v_2^{M_2} \rightarrow \text{Products},$$

where M_1 and M_2 denotes the chemical symbols of the deficient and abundant reactants respectively. Here v_{j} is the stoichiometric coefficient for species j. We take the reaction to be irreversible and of Arrhenius-type so that its rate expression is of the form

(2.1)
$$\omega = B \rho^2 Y_1^m Y_2^n \exp(-E/RT),$$

where ρ is the density, Y_1 and Y_2 are the mass fractions of the deficient and abundant components, respectively, and T the temperature. The parameters appearing in the rate expression are the activation energy E, the universal gas constant R, the pre-exponential factor B, and the reaction orders m and n with respect to the deficient and abundant reactants, respectively.

The basic equations of the two-reactant system can be modelled by the following equations:

(2.2)
$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad , \quad P = \frac{\rho RT}{W} \quad ,$$

(2.3)
$$\rho \frac{\overline{Dv}}{\overline{Dt}} + \nabla P = \mu \{ \frac{1}{3} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} \},$$

(2.4)
$$\rho \ C_{p} \frac{DT}{Dt} - \frac{DP}{Dt} = \lambda \ \nabla^{2}T + Q\omega,$$

(2.5)
$$\rho \frac{DY_{j}}{Dt} = \rho D_{j} \nabla^{2}Y_{j} - v_{j}W_{j}\omega , j = 1,2.$$

Besides Y_j and T, the state of the system is determined by the density ρ , pressure P and velocity y. The other quantities appearing above are the specific heat at constant pressure C_p , viscosity μ , thermal conductivity

 λ , species diffusion coefficient D_j of species j, chemical heat release Q, molecular weight W_j of species j and molecular weight W of the inert. Finally, D/DT denotes the material derivative, defined by

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla .$$

Equations (2.1) - (2.5) are supplemental by appropriate boundary conditions and jump conditions across the reaction zone.

For nondimensionalization of the governing equations, the state of the fresh mixture is chosen as the reference, defined by the constant values P_o , ρ_o , T_o and v_o , while the initial concentrations are rendered dimensionless relative to the initial concentration of the deficient reactant v_{10} . The characteristic length and time scales are taken to be the adiabatic diffusion scales

(2.7)
$$l_o = \lambda/(\rho_o C_p v_o)$$
, $t_o = \lambda/(\rho_o C_p v_o^2)$.

It is convenient to introduce the flame Mach number as $M_o = v_o/c_o$, where c_o is the frozen sound speed in the fresh mixture. Finally, pressure deviations from the ambient are referred to $\gamma M_o^2 P_o$, γ being the specific-heats ratio. The governing equations then take the dimensionless form

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} + \rho \nabla \cdot v = 0 , 1 = \rho T ,$$

(2.9)
$$\rho \stackrel{\text{Dv}}{=} + \nabla P = Pr \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} \right\},$$

$$\rho \frac{DT}{Dt} = \nabla^2 T + \alpha \Omega ,$$

(2.11)
$$\rho \frac{DY_{j}}{Dt} = L_{j}^{-1} \nabla^{2}Y_{j} - \alpha_{j}\Omega , j = 1,2 ,$$

(2.12)
$$\Omega = \frac{D}{M_{\Omega}^{2}} v_{1}W_{1}\rho_{0}Y_{10}^{m+n-1} \rho^{2}Y_{1}^{m}Y_{2}^{n} e^{-\theta/T}.$$

The yet undefined nondimensional parameters appearing above are:

Pr =
$$\mu$$
 C $_p/\lambda$ Prandtl number,
 $\alpha = QY_{10}/C_pT_ov_1W_1$ Heat release number,
 $\theta = E/RT_o$ Activation energy,
 $\epsilon = (1 + \alpha)^2/\theta$ Reciprocal activation energy,
 $L_j = \lambda/\rho D_j$ C Reciprocal activation energy,
 $L_j = \lambda/\rho D_j$ C Lewis number for species j,
 $D = \lambda B/\rho_o C_p c_o^2$ Damkohler number,
 $\alpha_j = v_j W_j/v_1 W_1$ Parameter involving stoichiometry.

When a steady, planar, adiabatic flame is analyzed in the limit $\theta + \infty$, i.e., $\varepsilon + 0$, the following expression for the Mach number M is obtained (see, e.g., Sen and Ludford (1979) and Mitani (1980)):

(2.13)
$$\frac{D}{M_0^2} = \frac{\alpha^{m+n+1}(1+\alpha)^2}{2 \alpha_2^n G(m,n,A)} \frac{\varepsilon^{-1-m-n} Y_{10}^{1-m-n}}{v_1 W_1 \rho_0 L_1^m L_2^n} e^{\{1 + 0(\varepsilon)\}},$$

where

(2.14)
$$G(m,n,A) = \int_0^\infty \xi^m (\xi + A)^n e^{-\xi} d\xi.$$

Here, A is the normalized final concentration of the abundant, given by

(2.15)
$$A = \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon L_2} (\phi - 1)$$

and ϕ is the equivalence ratio $(v_1W_1Y_{20}/v_2W_2Y_{10})$. Equation (2.13) is valid for the entire range of mixture ratio. However, we are only interested in the

mixture-ratio range $\phi - 1 = 0$ (ϵ); i.e., near-stoichiometric mixtures, since the reaction rates are then governed by the deficient component as well as the abundant component of the mixture. The special cases of very-near stoichiometry ($\phi - 1 = 0$ (ϵ^2); i.e., A + 0) and away-from stoichiometry ($\phi - 1 = 0$ (1); i.e., $A + \infty$) can be considered as limiting cases of the near-stoichiometric analysis, and will be discussed in the conclusions. Equation (2.13) also shows that the Mach number is exponentially small in the limit of large activation energy.

The near-equidiffusional formulation (NEF), in addition to large activation energy, is characterized by near-unity Lewis numbers and nearly-uniform enthalpies, i.e.,

(2.16)
$$L_{1}^{-1} = 1 - \epsilon \left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\alpha}\right), T + \alpha Y_{1} \equiv H = 1 + \alpha + \epsilon h,$$

(2.17)
$$L_2^{-1} = 1 - \epsilon(\frac{\ell_2}{\alpha})$$
, $T + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_2} Y_2 \equiv G = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_2} Y_{2f} + \epsilon g$.

Here, $Y_{2f} = Y_{20}/Y_{10}$ denotes the dimensionless mass fraction of Y_2 in the fresh mixture. Then, to leading order in ε , equations (2.8), (2.9) remains unaltered, while equations (2.10) and (2.11) are replaced by

(2.18a)
$$\rho \frac{DT}{Dt} - \nabla^2 T = 0 \qquad \text{in the burnt region,}$$

(2.18b)
$$T = 1 + \alpha$$
 in the unburnt region,

(2.19a)
$$\rho \frac{Dh}{Dt} = \nabla^2 h + (\frac{\ell_1}{\alpha}) \nabla^2 T ,$$

(2.19b)
$$\rho \frac{Dg}{Dt} = \nabla^2 g + (\frac{\ell_2}{\alpha}) \nabla^2 T.$$

Equations (2.8), (2.9), (2.18) and (2.19) now constitute the basic set.

The following analysis is by now standard, and the reader is referred to Jackson and Kapila (1984) for details, hereafter referred to as I. For a two-dimensional flow, let the reaction-zone location be given by $x = x_f \equiv F(y,t)$. It is convenient to shift to a reference frame in which the reaction zone is stationary. Let a new coordinate ξ be defined by the transformation

(2.20)
$$x = F(y,t) + \xi$$
,

and let the unburnt and burnt regions be confined, respectively, to $\xi < 0$ and $\xi > 0$. Then one obtains equations (8)-(22) of I, except now the enthalpy equation (14I) is replaced by the enthalpy equations for h and g,

(2.21a)
$$\rho (h_{t} + Sh_{E} + vh_{v}) = \nabla^{2}h + (\frac{l_{1}}{\alpha}) \nabla^{2}T,$$

(2.21b)
$$\rho(g_t + Sg_E + vg_y) = \nabla^2 g + (\frac{\ell_2}{\alpha}) \nabla^2 T,$$

while the boundary conditions (211) and (221) at $\xi = 0$ are replaced, respectively, by

(2.22)
$$\delta T_{\xi} = \frac{-\alpha e^{h/2}}{\sqrt{(1+F_{y}^{2}) G(m,n,A)}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{m} (A+g-h+\xi)^{n} e^{-\xi} d\xi \right\}^{1/2},$$

(2.23)
$$\delta h_{\xi} = -\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\alpha}\right) \delta T_{\xi} , \quad \delta g_{\xi} = -\left(\frac{\ell_{2}}{\alpha}\right) \delta T_{\xi}.$$

Equations (81)-(221), together with (2.21)-(2.23) describe completely the NEF problem.

3. Linearization. Small perturbations of the steady flame are governed by linearized equations, which can be obtained by setting

$$\psi = \psi^{s}(\xi) + \sigma \overline{\psi} (\xi, y, t)$$

for all variables and taking the limit $\sigma \to 0$. We focus our attention only on the enthalpy equations (2.21) and the boundary conditions (2.22) and (2.23) since linearization of the other equations yields the identical equations of I.

Linearization of (2.21) leads to

$$(3.2a) \qquad \rho^{S} \overline{h}_{t} + \overline{h}_{\xi} + \overline{m} h_{\xi}^{S} = \overline{h}_{\xi\xi} + \overline{h}_{yy} - \overline{F}_{yy} h_{\xi}^{S}$$

$$+ (\frac{\ell_{1}}{\alpha}) (\overline{T}_{\xi\xi} + \overline{T}_{yy} - \overline{F}_{yy} T_{\xi}^{S}),$$

$$(3.2b) \qquad \rho^{S} \overline{g}_{t} + \overline{g}_{\xi} + \overline{m} g_{\xi}^{S} = \overline{g}_{\xi\xi} + \overline{g}_{yy} - \overline{F}_{yy} g_{\xi}^{S}$$

$$+ (\frac{\ell_{2}}{\alpha}) (\overline{T}_{\xi\xi} + \overline{T}_{yy} - \overline{F}_{yy} T_{\xi}^{S})$$

for \overline{h} and \overline{g} , respectively, while linearization of the jump conditions (2.22) and (2.23) at $\xi = 0$ yields

(3.3)
$$\delta \overline{T}_{\xi} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \{ \overline{h} + H (\overline{g} - \overline{h}) \},$$

(3.4)
$$\delta \overline{h}_{\xi} = -(\frac{\ell_1}{\alpha}) \delta \overline{T}_{\xi} , \delta \overline{g}_{\xi} = -(\frac{\ell_2}{\alpha}) \delta \overline{T}_{\xi}.$$

Here,

(3.5)
$$H = n \frac{G(m, n-1, A)}{G(m, n, A)}.$$

If we define a new function \overline{f} by

$$(3.6) \overline{f} = \overline{h} + H (\overline{g} - \overline{h}),$$

then (3.2) reduces to a single equation for \overline{f} , namely

$$(3.7) \rho^{S}\overline{f}_{t} + \overline{f}_{\xi} + \overline{m}f_{\xi}^{S} = \overline{f}_{\xi\xi} + \overline{f}_{yy} - \overline{F}_{yy}f_{\xi}^{S}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\alpha} (\ell_{1} + H(\ell_{2} - \ell_{1})) \{ \overline{T}_{\xi\xi} + \overline{T}_{yy} - \overline{F}_{yy} T_{\xi}^{S} \},$$

while (3.3) and (3.4) determines the jump conditions at $\xi = 0$,

(3.8)
$$\delta \overline{T}_{\xi} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \overline{f} , \delta \overline{f}_{\xi} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} (\ell_1 + H (\ell_2 - \ell_1)) \delta \overline{T}_{\xi}.$$

These equations are identical to the linearized enthalpy equation for the premixed flame (see (30I),(36I), and (42I)) with a reduced Lewis number ℓ_c , defined by

(3.9)
$$\ell_{c} = \ell_{1} + H (\ell_{2} - \ell_{1}).$$

4. Discussion and Conclusions. The stability problem of the two-reactant flame consists of the linear system (241)-(421), with (301) and (361) replaced by (3.7), and the boundary condition (421) replaced by (3.8). In particular, we find that this system is governed only by the parameter ℓ_c , defined in

(3.9). It is only here that the mixture ratio appears through H, and thus we consider the following three limiting cases. For near-stoichiometric mixtures, H is given by (3.5) and can not be simplified further. For very-near stoichiometry, H is given by

(4.1a)
$$H = \frac{n}{m+n} + 0(\varepsilon) , \quad \phi - 1 = 0 \ (\varepsilon^2),$$

and the corresponding reduced Lewis number becomes

(4.1b)
$$\ell_{c} = \frac{m \ell_{1} + n \ell_{2}}{m + n} + 0 (\epsilon),$$

i.e., the average value of the two Lewis numbers weighted by their respective reaction orders (Joulin and Mitani (1981)). Finally, away from stoichiometry, H is given by

(4.2a)
$$H = \frac{\varepsilon n}{\alpha(\phi-1)} + 0 (\varepsilon^2) , \phi - 1 = 0 (1),$$

with corresponding &,,

$$(4.2b) l_c = l_1 + 0 (\varepsilon).$$

Thus, for far-from stoichiometric mixtures, the stability problem reduces to that of a one-reactant flame governed by the Lewis number of the deficient component. Sivashinsky (1980) and Joulin and Mitani (1981) have shown that within the diffusional-thermal model, the stability problem for two-reactant flames at or near stoichiometry reduces to the stability problem for single-reactant flames with a reduced Lewis number. We have shown that the above result also holds for the more important case when thermal expansion is accounted for, and for the entire range of mixture ratio. The following general statement can now be made: The linear stability problem for the class of two-reactant flames is equivalent to the stability problem for the class of one-reactant flames with Lewis number $L = 1 + \varepsilon(\ell_c/\alpha)$. The effects of heat loss and gravity do not alter this conclusion.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank A. Kapila for helpful comments in connection with this work.

REFERENCES

Jackson, T. L. and Kapila, A. K. (1984). "Effect of thermal expansion on the stability of plane, freely propagating flames," Comb. Sci. Tech., 41, pp 191-207.

Jackson, T. L. and Kapila, A. K. (1986a). "Effect of thermal expansion on the stability of plane, freely propagating flames. Part II: Incorporation of gravity and heat loss," Comb. Sci. Tech., in press.

Jackson, T. L. and Kapila, A. K. (1986b). "Thermal expansion effects on perturbed premixed flames: A review," Lectures in Applied Mathematics, AMS, 24, pp 325-347.

Joulin, G. and Mitani, T. (1981). "Linear stability analysis of two-reactant flames," Combust. Flame, 40, pp 235-246.

Mitani, T. (1980). "Propogation velocities of two-reactant flames," Comb. Sci. Tech., 21, pp 175-177.

Sen, A. K. and Ludford, G. S. S. (1979). "The near-stoichiometric behavior of combustible mixtures. Part I: Diffusion of the reactants," Comb. Sci. Tech., 21, pp 15-23.

Sivashinsky, G. I. (1977). "Diffusional-thermal theory of cellular flames," Comb. Sci. Tech., 15, pp. 137-146.

Sivashinsky, G. I. (1980). "On flame propagation under conditions of stoichiometry," SIAM J. Appl. Math, 39, pp 67-82.

Standard Bibliographic Page

1. Report No. NASA CR-178168	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Car	talog No.
ICASE Report No. 86-56 4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date	
EFFECT OF THERAL EXPANSION ON THE STABILITY OF TWO-REACTANT FLAMES		August 1986	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) T. L. Jackson		8. Performing Organization Report No. 86-56	
9 Performing Organization Natural Applications in Science		10. Work Unit No.	
and Engineering Mail Stop 132C, NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665-5225		11 Contract of Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		Contractor Report	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
Washington, D.C. 20546		505-31-83-	01
15. Supplementary Notes	<u> </u>		
Langley Technical Monitor: Submitted to Combustion Science and J. C. South Technology			Science and
Final Report			
16. Abstract			
The full problem of flame sta	bility for the two-re	actant model	, which takes
into account thermal expansion	effects for all dist	urbance wave	
examined. It is found that the	stability problem for	the class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st	stability problem for ability problem for t	the class of he class of ers.	f two-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st flames with an appropriate interp 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s))	stability problem for ability problem for tretation of Lewis number	the class of he class of ers.	f two-reactant one-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st flames with an appropriate interp	stability problem for ability problem for tretation of Lewis number	the class of he class of ers.	f two-reactant one-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st flames with an appropriate interp 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) stability, two-reactant flames,	stability problem for ability problem for tretation of Lewis number 18. Distribution State 59 - Mathematical State 19. The state	the class of he class of ers.	f two-reactant one-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st flames with an appropriate interp 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) stability, two-reactant flames,	stability problem for the state of Lewis number of Lewis numbe	the class of he class of ers.	two-reactant one-reactant
examined. It is found that the flames is equivalent to the st flames with an appropriate interp 17. Key Words (Suggested by Authors(s)) stability, two-reactant flames,	stability problem for the state of Lewis number of Lewis numbe	the class of he class of ers.	mputer

End of Document